

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/768,069	<u> </u>	01/22/2001	Thomas Glenn Hall JR.	RIC00025	2505	
25537	7590	08/31/2006	EXAMINER			
VERIZO	N		SAM, PHIRIN			
PATENT	MANAGEN	MENT GROUP				
1515 N. C	OURTHOU	ISE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 50	0		2616			
ARLING	ΓON, VA	22201-2909		DATE MAILED: 08/31/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				SP
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	Office Author Comments	09/768,069	HALL, THOMAS GLENN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
_		Phirin Sam	2616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS OF THE MAILING TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed The mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	<u>une 2006</u> .		
,	• -	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 and 23 is/are pending in the app	lication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)🖂	Claim(s) 14-21 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8,10-13 and 23 is/are rejected	l.		
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2,4,7 and 9 is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		
· —	The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a)		to by the Examiner.	
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • •	•	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ived in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau	, , , ,		
- 8	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.	
	Thu	\sim		
	PHIRI	N SAM EXAMINER		
Attachment	(0)	_		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai		
3) 🔯 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 04/04/06.	_	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/768,069

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. Claims 1 and 8 of this application conflict with claims 1 and 6 of Application No.

 2002/0061101, respectively. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.
- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1, 5, and 8 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 5, and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 2002/0057693.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

Art Unit: 2616

because "a multi-service control point" of claim 1 of the instant application performs all limitations of US Patent 2002/0057693 of claim 1 and does not specifically disclose "generate an alias ATM address of a called party CPE that corresponds to a network gateway in communication with a PSTN switch through a plurality of trunk lines and a desired trunk line of the plurality of trunk lines". However, instead, claim 1 of the instant application discloses "a request to establish a point-to-multipoint connection, enforce policies regarding establishment of point-to-multipoint connections".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10-13, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,535,991 (hereinafter referred as "Gallant") in view of US Patent 6,895,088 (hereinafter referred as "Nelson").

Regarding claims 1, 5, 8, and 23, Gallant discloses an intelligent network for use with an ATM network to set up an ATM switched virtual circuit to provide VTOA services and point-to-multipoint connectivity, the intelligent network comprising:

(a) a multi-service control point (MSCP) operable to receive an input extracted from an input ATM setup message that includes a called party phone number value, a VTOA designator, and a request to establish a point-to-multipoint connection, enforce policies regarding establishment of point-to-multipoint connections, and generate an output in response for use in generating an

Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

output ATM setup message (see Figs. 1, 4, and 5, element 130, col. 5, lines 35-67, and col. 6, lines 1-57);

(b) an ATM signaling intercept processor (ASIP) operable to intercept the input ATM setup message from an ingress ATM edge switch of the ATM network, extract the input from the input ATM setup message, communicate the input to the multi-service control point, receive the output generated by the multi-service control point, generate the output ATM setup message using the output, and communicate the output ATM setup message to the ingress ATM edge switch of the ATM network (see Figs. 1 and 2, element 120, col. 3, lines 7-24, 32-52);

Gallant does not disclose a service administration (SA) operable to provision the multiservice control point and the ATM signaling intercept processor. However, Nelson discloses a
service administration (SA) operable to provision the multi-service control point and the ATM
signaling intercept processor (see Figs. 1 and 2, element 104, col. 5, lines 6-35). At the time of
the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the
service administration teaching by Nelson with Gallant. The motivation for doing so would have
been to provide call management applications such as call trace, call tap, remote call control,
accounting, configuration, and interfacing between external devices and the call processing
elements read on abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Nelson and Gallant
to obtain the invention as specified in the claims 1, 5, 8, and 23.

Regarding claims 3, 6, 11, and 13, Gallant discloses further operable to perform allow the point-to-multipoint connection to be established if a calling party is authorized to make point-to multipoint connections and a bandwidth requested is within authorized bandwidth limits (see Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 44-58).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,069 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 10 and 12, Gallant discloses the multi-service control point is operable to track number of leaf nodes of a point-to-multipoint connection (see Figs. 1 and 4, col. 5, lines 59-67, and col. 6, lines 1-6).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2, 4, 7, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 14-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The examiner can normally be reached on a compress schedule, from 8:00-5:30, first Wed off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272 - 3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,069 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 29, 2006

PHIRIN SAM PRIMARY EXAMINER